



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,569	03/22/2004	Gianfranco Guderzo	CAM3-PT100	2190
3624 7590 12/15/2008 VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C. UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			EXAMINER TO, TUAN C	
			ART UNIT 3663	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 12/15/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/806,569

Applicant(s)

GUDERZO, GIANFRANCO

Examiner

TUAN C. TO

Art Unit

3663

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 September 2008.
2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4, 12-17, 20, 24, 28 and 29 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☒ Claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5, 8-11, 18, 19, 21-23, 25-27 and 30-40 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3, 5, 8-11, 18, 19, 21-23, 25-27 and 30-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uno (US 20030160686A1).

Regarding claims 1, 10, 11, 18, 27, and 30, Uno discloses a bicycle computer control arrangement and method comprising a detachable case (27) from the surface of which the display (24) is disposed (see paragraph 0025). Also, as set forth in paragraph 0025, and figure 3, the control apparatus (15) is attached to the central portion of the handlebar assembly (4) and is operatively connected to the shift command units (14a, 14b) and other buttons. In paragraph 0025, Uno teaches that the display unit (24) can be configured to perform various display functions even when the case (27) is detached from the bracket (29).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the bicycle display system as taught using all the significant components in Uno in order to address all features of the current claims.

As to claim 2, Uno further discloses the feature of "basic locomotion functions comprises the function of controlling, through corresponding controls associated to the second unit, a first actuator controlling a gear shift of the cycle and a second actuator controlling a derailleur of the cycle" (see paragraph 0025, the control apparatus 15 controls a first actuator (14a, 14b) controlling a gear shift of the cycle) and a second actuator controlling a derailleur of the cycle (see paragraph 0024).

As to claim 3, Uno further discloses function of controlling the first actuator and second actuator is enabled in a manual mode that permits manual operation of the actuators (see paragraph 0031).

As to claim 5, Uno discloses a microcontroller (21) as mentioned above for performing the basic locomotion functions such as shifting gear and derailleur (see figure 3 and paragraph 0024).

As to claim 8, Uno disclose a display unit (24) connected to the

As to claim 9, Uno discloses that the control apparatus (15) comprises the control unit (23) associated to which are manually operated controls of the actuators and a power unit that drives said actuators (see figure 3).

As to claims 19, 21, and 22, Uno discloses that user can use hand operable means (see figure 1, and figure 2, the bicycle as a whole and the handle bar each described to contain hand operable means).

As to claim 23, Uno teaches the part of a cycle that moves is a derailleur (33) (see figure 1, and paragraph 0019).

As to claims 25, and 26, Uno teaches the feature of "allowing the person to manually operate the actuator" (see paragraph 0025, lines 15-21).

As to claims 31-40, Uno further teaches that the control unit (23) includes a CPU (21) and memory (22) coupled to a plurality of buttons and actuator (see figure 3, and paragraph 0024). And in the paragraph 0025, Uno teaches that in the case, the display unit (24) can perform various display functions even when the case (27) is detached from the bracket (29).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the display system as taught in Uno to implement the basic locomotion functions using the

previously stored values stored in the memory (22) when the display unit (24) is detached as the result of removing the case (27).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6 and 7 are remained allowable.

Response to the Applicant's Amendment

The applicant's request for continued examination filed on 09/17/2008 has been fully considered. However, the application fails to be placed in a condition of allowance because the previously cited reference to Uno alone still suggests the limitations recited in the claims said above.

Conclusions

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan C To whose telephone number is (571) 272-6985. The examiner can normally be reached on from 8:00AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should

Art Unit: 3663

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Tuan C To/

Primary Examiner of Art Unit 3663/3600

November 17, 2008